

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,470		11/20/2001	Janos Bodor	F7575(V)	7515	
201	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
UNILEV PATENT	/ER `DEPARTI	MENT		BHAT, NINA NMN		
45 RIVER ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1764	1764	
				DATE MAII CD: 09/2/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/046,470	BODOR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE AND	N. Bhat	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a REANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ıne 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20040920					

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully and carefully considered. Applicant has not made any changes to the claims. Applicant's amendments are not persuasive for reasons of record in the office action mailed 1-29-2004 and the following:

- 2. In the office action of January 29, 2004 a rejection over claim 5 because applicant couched the claim with a linking term "preferably". The rejection of this claim over 112, 2nd is withdrawn pursuant to PTO guidelines. Claim 5 is objected to and applicant is suggested to draft another claim depending from claim 5, which has the yellowness fact less than 2850. This is merely a suggestion and not a requirement.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 14-18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Runge et al.[USP 6,261,598]

Runge teaches a carotenoid formulation comprising beta-carotene, lycopene and luetin, which are used in human and animal foods, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals.

Applicant has argued that a yellowness factor of less than 4000 and yellowness index of 1-90 has not been taught or suggested by Runge et al. Further, applicant argues that Runge et al. does not suggest minimizing the yellow color in the food with large

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470

Art Unit: 1764

amounts of carotenoids. The examiner does not dispute these facts. However, the claims are drawn to a composition and the composition recites an edible composition comprising at least 15 mg/Kg of one or more colored carotenoids being evenly distributed in the composition. Runge specifically teaches making betacaroten/lycopene/luetin in dry powdered form, emulsion form, oil soluble form and encapsulated in a gelatin capsule. The carotenoids are used in the amounts in the same type of fat containing foods, such as margarine, butter, cheese, soup, ice creams, sauces and dairy products wherein the carotenoid is evenly distributed in the food product. Runge et al. teaches that the carotenoid can be used in emulsion form, oil containing carotenoid form, dry powdered form, and encapsulated in gelatin, which is used in the food.[Note Column 4, lines 6-40]. It is maintained that with respect to applicant's claim to the yellowness factor and yellowness index, this would be an inherent property of the carotenoid composition of Runge et al. Applicant has argued that inherency cannot be established by mere possibilities. Applicant is reminded that the PTO has no testing facilities and if applicant is claiming the invention, article or composition in terms of physical properties as limitations such as yellowness factors and yellowness index, the burden of showing why Runge et al. would not provide a food composition having the yellowness factor and yellowness index as claimed by applicant.[Note the case law of In re Spada 911 F.2d 705,709, 15 USPQ 2d at 1255, 195 USPQ at 433]

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

5. Claims 12-13 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. Bhat

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764 Page 5